

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/754,223	COCKERILL ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
TERESA E. STRZELECKA	1637	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) TERESA E. STRZELECKA.

(3) ____.

(2) Angela Parsons.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 15 July 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

22, 46-48

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Ms. Parsons because amended claims 1, 4-21, 25-28 and 40-45 were allowable, and examiner suggested cancelling the non-elected claims together with claims 22 and 46-48, which are still rejectable under art. Ms. Parsons informed examiner that Applicants did not wish to cancel any of the non-allowed claims and to proceed with the final action..